RUDLOFF WOOD & BARROWS LLP

28

1	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:
2	Defendant Nationwide Mutual Fire Insurance Company ("Nationwide Fire"), by and
3	through its attorneys of record, Rudloff Wood and Barrows LLP, and Plaintiff Tamiko Carrillo
4	("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys of record, Mannion & Lowe, hereby stipulate:
5	WHEREAS, completing discovery related to Plaintiff's medical providers has been
6	delayed due to the parties' discovery dispute; and
7	WHEREAS, the parties have not been able to resolve the dispute by meeting and
8	conferring and Plaintiff intends to file a motion to quash to be heard on September 29, 2009; and
9	WHEREAS, the non-expert discovery cut-off is presently set for August 21, 2009; and
10	WHEREAS, other court dates are presently set as follows:
11	• Completion of ADR: July 31, 2009
12	• Expert Disclosures: August 28, 2009
13	• Expert Rebuttal: September 8, 2009
14	Complete Expert Discovery: October 6, 2009
15	• Dispositive Motions: November 6, 2009
16	WHEREAS, the parties have the following outstanding discovery requests: 1) Nationwide
17	Fire's Deposition of Ms. Barbara Krzyczkowska; 2) Nationwide Fire's Deposition of Dr. Bruce
18	Eliashof; 3) Plaintiff's Deposition of Nationwide Fire's Most Knowledgeable Person(s); 4)
19	Nationwide Fire's Deposition of Mannion & Lowe's Person Most Knowledgeable; and 5)
20	Nationwide Fire's Deposition of Winer McKenna's Person Most Knowledgeable; and
21	WHEREAS, the Court issued a ruling on the parties' respective motions for summary
22	judgment on the issues of duty to defend and bad faith on July 2, 2009; and
23	WHEREAS, Plaintiff intends to seek leave to file a supplemental complaint; and
24	WHEREAS, the parties have been unable to coordinate a date for a full-day mediation
25	session in July or August; and
26	WHEREAS, the parties are presently making arrangements to schedule a full day
27	mediation; and

WHEREAS, the parties' previous stipulations for order changing time to complete ADR

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

process and for other extensions of court deadlines were warranted by the circumstances of the case at the time and for good cause; and

WHEREAS, the parties agree to extend the time to complete outstanding non-expert discovery and related court dates by 30 days from the hearing of Plaintiff's Motion to Quash, or 30 days after the Court issues a ruling on the motion to quash, whichever is later.

THERETOFORE THE PARTIES STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The parties agree to extend the court dates indicated below as follows:
 - Completion of ADR: October 31, 2009
 - Non-expert Discovery: October 29, 2009 (or 30 days after the court rules on Plaintiff's Motion to Quash, whichever is later) as to any discovery properly noticed and/or served prior to August 21, 2009 only
 - Expert Disclosures: 3 weeks after non-expert discovery cut-off
 - Expert Rebuttal: 2 weeks after expert disclosures
 - Complete Expert Discovery: 30 days after expert rebuttal cut-off
 - Dispositive Motions: 30 days after expert discovery completion.

DATED: August <u>/2</u> , 2009	RUDLOFF WOOD & BARROWS LLP
	By: G. Edward Rudloff, Jr. Edward P. Murphy Anna A. Chopova
	Attorneys for Defendant NATIONWIDE MUTUAL FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY
DATED: August, 2009	MANNION & LOWE
	By: E. Gerard Mannion Demian I. Oksenendler
	Attorneys for Plaintiff TAMIKO CARRILLO

process and for other extensions of court deadlines were warranted by the circumstances of the case at the time and for good cause; and

WHEREAS, the parties agree to extend the time to complete outstanding non-expert discovery and related court dates by 30 days from the hearing of Plaintiff's Motion to Quash, or 30 days after the Court issues a ruling on the motion to quash, whichever is later.

THERETOFORE THE PARTIES STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The parties agree to extend the court dates indicated below as follows:
 - Completion of ADR: October 31, 2009
 - Non-expert Discovery: October 29, 2009 (or 30 days after the court rules on Plaintiff's Motion to Quash, whichever is later) as to any discovery properly noticed and/or served prior to August 21, 2009 only
 - Expert Disclosures: 3 weeks after non-expert discovery cut-off
 - Expert Rebuttal: 2 weeks after expert disclosures
 - Complete Expert Discovery: 30 days after expert rebuttal cut-off
 - Dispositive Motions: 30 days after expert discovery completion.

DATED: August, 2009	RUDLOFF WOOD & BARROWS LLP
	By: G. Edward Rudloff, Jr. Edward P. Murphy Anna A. Chopova Attorneys for Defendant NATIONWIDE MUTUAL FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY
DATED: August <u>12</u> , 2009	MANNION & LOWE
	By: E. Gerard Mannion Demian I. Oksenendler Attorneys for Plaintiff TAMIKO CARRILLO
	THAIR THAIR CARRILLO

	1	{PROPOSED} ORDER
	2	IT IS SO ORDERED, based on the Stipulation set forth above, and GOOD CAUSE
	3	APPEARING, that:
	4	1. The court dates indicated below are extended as follows:
	5	• Completion of ADR: October 31, 2009
	6	 Non-expert Discovery: October 29, 2009 (or 30 days after the court rules on
	7	Plaintiff's Motion to Quash, whichever is later) as to any discovery properly
	8	noticed and/or served prior to August 21, 2009 only
	9	• Expert Disclosures: 3 weeks after non-expert discovery cut-off
	10	• Expert Rebuttal: 2 weeks after expert disclosures
	11	Complete Expert Discovery: 30 days after expert rebuttal cut-off
	12	Dispositive Motions: 30 days after expert discovery completion.
	13	
)	14	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
2	15	
	16	DATED: 8/13/2009 THE HONORALL J. KEMY OGEL
	17	United States District Court Northern District of California
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	